

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/025,958	GILKERSON ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
George R. Evanisko	3762	

All Participants:**Status of Application:** _____(1) George R. Evanisko.

(3) _____.

(2) Marvin Beekman.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 12 December 2008**Time:** _____**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.**Rejection(s) discussed:***provisional double patenting rejection detailed in the last office action***Claims discussed:****Prior art documents discussed:****Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***A terminal disclaimer will be filed to overcome the provisional double patenting rejections***Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)